

THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today (1) was **not** written for publication in a law journal and (2) is **not** binding precedent of the Board.


Paper No. 28

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte GORLEY L. LAU

Application No. 09/476,669



ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on July 21, 2004. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

Upon review of the Examiner's Answer mailed on April 30, 2003 (Paper No. 25), it appears that no appeal conference has been conducted. According to the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) § 1208 (8th ed., August 2001), when an appeal conference has been held, the appeal conference participants must identify themselves as the conferees, along with placing their initials next to their name. This procedure has not been completely followed.

The initials or signature of Steven P. Griffin are missing from the Examiner's Answer.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is returned to the Examiner for taking corrective action regarding the appeal conference, written notification of the action taken, and for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES

By: 

KIMBERLY JORDAN
Program and Resource Administrator
(703) 308-9797

KJ/dal
RA04-0731

Application No.09/476,669

Page 3

CONLEY ROSE, P.C.
P.O. BOX 684908
AUSTIN TX 78768